

Election Integrity Project® California (EIPCa) Legal Advocacy from 2015 - 2023

It has become clear that people in California and around the country **have NO idea of the progress Election Integrity Project® California** (EIPCa) has made in addressing the documented evidence that California's election process has become easily manipulated.

Unconstitutional laws passed by the legislature, regulations implemented by the Secretary of State, and policies and procedures used by the County Registrar of Voters are the culprits.

From 2011 to 2023 EIPCa worked to bring the issues to the attention of those in authority at every level of governance from County Registrars to federal agencies. All have ignored the problem.

At the end of the 2014 election, it became clear that EIPCa would need to go through the courts to challenge the power holders who changed the rules in order to create job security for themselves!

- 1) 2015 Qualified for a Hearing with the California Advisory Committee to the U.S. the Commission on Civil Rights.
 - a) This Commission is part of the DOJ/Civil Rights Dept.
 - b) EIPCa's research and documentation of how elections were implemented in 40 out of 58 CA counties was presented to the Chair of the Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.
 - c) The Chair and the committee took nine months to review our evidence and determined the evidence was strong enough to call for a Hearing.
 - d) The hearing was held in Los Angeles on August 15, 2015.
 - e) The report from the Regional Commissioner was so damning that it was redacted and buried by someone in the Dept. of Justice
- 2) 2017 Retained Judicial Watch to file a lawsuit in 2017 regarding EIPCa's documentation of massively bloated voter rolls due to the state's refusal to follow federal law regarding list maintenance.

- 3) 2019 EIPCa won a settlement agreement that resulted in LA County removing 1.2 to 1.5 million inactive names from the voter rolls for FOUR years in a row.
- 4) 2020 Landmark Legal Foundation & EIPCa Filed an Amicus Brief with the United States Supreme Court on behalf of Arizona's effort to protect and retain their anti-ballot harvesting law.
 - a) The brief included EIPCa's evidence that ballot harvesting is dangerous for vulnerable populations such as senior citizens, non-citizens, young adults, etc.
 - b) The Supreme Court ruled 6 to 3 in favor of Arizona due to the evidence EIPCa provided in the Brief.
 - c) The Brief was accepted and published in the Congressional Digest, an honor achieved by very few.
- 5) 2020 EIPCa filed legal action against Ventura county ROV for violation of CA Observer laws.
 - **a)** <u>Election Integrity Project® California</u>, Inc. and Rolando Chinea **vs** <u>Mark</u> A. Lunn, Ventura County Clerk Recorder, Registrar of Voters
 - b) Our lawsuit sat in limbo due to Covid
- 6) 2021 EIPCa filed lawsuit against CA Gov, AG, SOS, 13 county ROVs.
 - a) Amended Complaint to add 2 more county ROVs.
 - <u>Election Integrity Project® California</u>, Inc. et al vs. Shirley Weber, <u>Secretary of State et al</u>
 - b) The case went to court May 10, 2021.
 - c) Judge dismissed the case claiming EIPCa did not have standing.
 - d) EIPCa filed appeal with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.
- 7) 2022 Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals ruled EIPCa has strong standing and sends the case back to the judge who tried to dismiss the case.
- 8) 2023 Defendants try again to get the case dismissed.
 - a) Judge dismissed the case again claiming EIPCa's is evidence "garden variety".
 - **b)** Using the term "Garden variety" is an accusation that the evidence presented is "frivolous".

- c) In fact, the case is not frivolous with a garden variety of complaints and the judge knows this. Why?
- d) Because EIPCa has over 10,000 Incident Reports signed under penalty of perjury from citizens in 43 of 58 counties.
- e) EIPCa immediately filed an appeal with Ninth Circuit Court...again! The court accepted the appeal.
- f) A week later EIPCa filed a request for Ninth Circuit to expedite the hearing process.
- **g)** The Ninth Circuit agreed with EIPCa's request and laid out very tight schedule that cannot be changed so that oral arguments will take place in early 2024.
- 9) 2023 EIPCa, Rolando vs Mark A Lunn goes to court June 12-16, 2023.
 - a) EIPCa Ventura County volunteers and EIPCa VP Ruth Weiss on behalf of the company testified in court for 5 days.
 - **b) EIPCa provided evidence and testimony** regarding the many ways the Registrar's office interfered with the ability of observers to actually observe the processing of the ballots.
 - c) The Judge ruled "observing" means to see that "some activity is taking place" in spite of the fact that Election Code 15104 says:
 - ... "observers shall be allowed <u>sufficiently close access to enable them</u> to observe the vote by mail ballot return envelopes and the signatures <u>thereon</u>" ...
 - d) EIPCa in the process of filing an appeal with District 2.

It is clear that EIPCa is dedicated to fighting this battle until integrity is restored to the election process and only valid elections are certified.

EIPCa recognizes our federal case may need to go all the way to the United States Supreme Court.

We are committed to taking our case the U.S. Supreme Court if that is what it takes to get a ruling that affirms the unconstitutionality of the laws, regulations, policies and procedures used to manage California elections and process ballots.